Electrochemical studies have also been done on the iron(II1) porphyrin chlorides and hydroxides of the basket-handle porphyrins.'* The substituents had large effects on the reduction potentials. The effect of the ether substituents was attributed to steric interference with solvation of the negatively charged species. The effects of the amide linkages were attributed to specific interactions involving either hydrogen-bonding or dipole-dipole interactions.

These literature results suggest that several factors contribute to the effects of ortho substituents on iron porphyrins. The relative importance of these factors varies from reaction to reaction. The factors that influence the rates of reaction of the iron porphyrin hydroxide may be quite different from those for oxygen binding because of the charge on the hydroxide ligand. Since the electrochemical studies were performed in polar solvents in the

Notes

Contribution from the Chemistry Department, University of Florence, 501 32 Florence, Italy, and ISSECC (CNR), 50132 Florence, Italy

1,4,7,10,13,16,19-Heptaazacycloheneicosane. A Large, Potentially Dinucleating Polyazacycloalkane. Synthesis and Equilibria between Hydrogen and Copper(I1) Ions

Mauro Micheloni,[†] Piero Paoletti,*[†] and Antonio Bianchi[†]

Received January 11, I985

Although there has been a considerable number of publications dealing with metal complexes of saturated polyazamacrocycles, relatively few studies are available on metal complexes of large polyazacycloalkanes (more than six nitrogens as donor atoms). These macrocycles are interesting mainly because they are potentially dinucleating ligands, able to incorporate more than one metal ion for each ligand molecule. In a previous paper' we have studied the coordination capabilities of the large polyazacycloalkane **1,4,7,10,13,16,19,22-octaazacyclotetracosane** (bistrien). Continuing our studies on dinucleating polyazamacrocyclic ligands, we report here the synthesis of the large polyazacycloalkane **1,4,7,10,13,16,19-heptaazacycloheneicosane** $(L₁)$ and thermodynamic and electrochemical studies on its Cu(II) complexes.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of the Compounds. All chemicals were reagent grade and utilized without purification. Macrocycle L, has **been** synthesized by the general procedure (see Figure 1) of Atkins et al.² Experimental details of the synthetic procedure are reported in Table I. A dinuclear complex of formula $Cu_2(L_1)Cl(ClO_4)$ ₃·H₂O can be isolated as a microcrystalline solid by mixing hot ethanolic solutions of copper(I1) perchlorate and neutralized solutions of (L_1) .7HCl. (Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₃₇N₇O₁₃Cu₂Cl₄: C, 21.54; H, 4.78; N, 12.56; Cl, 18.17. Found: C, 21.4; H, 4.9; N, 12.5; Cl, 18.1.) The magnetic moment of the complex measured by the Faraday method, is given by $\mu_{eff} = 2.81 \mu_B$ at 298 K.

EMF Measurements. All potentiometric measurements were carried out in 0.5 mol dm^{-3} NaClO₄. The potentiometric titrations were carried out by using equipment (potentiometer, buret, stirrer, thermostated cell, microprocessor, etc.) that has been already described.⁴ The computer program **SUPERQUAD'** was used to process data and calculate basicity and stability constants.

Electrochemical Measurements. Electrochemical analysis by cyclic voltammetry was performed with the following apparatus: a potentiostat (Amel Model 552), pen recorder (Amel Model 862/A) and a classical three-electrode cell. The working electrode was a platinum microsphere, the auxiliary electrode was a platinum disk, and the reference was an presence of high electrolyte concentrations, the solvation of the iron complexes is probably quite different from that in CCI_4 as used in the studies in this paper. Nonetheless, there is increasing evidence that both ether and amide substituents can have both general and specific effects on iron porphyrin reactions.

Acknowledgment. The partial support of this work by National Institutes of Health Grant GM21156 is gratefully acknowledged. **S.S.E.** thanks the National Science Foundation for a Visiting Professorship for Women, 1984.

Registry No. 111, 98170-58-2; **IV,** 98170-59-3; V, 98170-60-6; Fe(T- $TP-OC_2$)Cl, 98170-53-7; Fe(TTP-OC₉)Cl, 98170-54-8; Fe(TTP- $OC₁A)Cl$, 98170-55-9; Fe(TTP- $OC₂A)Cl$, 98170-56-0; Fe(TTP-OC4A)C1, 98 170-57-1; Fe(TTP-OC,)OH, 98170-61-7; Fe(TTP-OC9)0- H, 98170-62-8; Fe(TTP-OC,A)OH, 98170-63-9; Fe(TTP-OC,A)OH, 98170-64-0; Fe(TPP-OC₄A)OH, 98170-65-1.

Figure 1. Reaction sequence for the synthesis of the macrocycle (L_1) .

SCE electrode. Before each experiment the solution was carefully deoxygenated. Electronic spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary Model 17 spectrophotometer.

Results and Discussion

Protonation. The stepwise protonation constants for the macrocycle (L_1) are reported in Table II. For comparison, the protonation constants for the similar macrocycle bistrien are also reported. **As** already observed for polyazamacrocycles, values for the basicity constants constitute two groups.^{1,4,6} The macrocycle $(L₁)$ behaves as a strong base in the first three steps of protonation and as much weaker base in the last steps of protonation. Such a behavior can be easily rationalized in terms of electrostatic repulsion among the positive charges on the protonated cyclic polyamines.6

Copper(I1) Complexes (Equilibrium Studies). Species and stability constants for the $Cu(II)$ complexes with L_1 are reported

- **(3)** Hung, Y. *Inorg. Synth.* **1980,** *20,* 106.
- (4) Bianchi, A.; Bologni, L.; Dapporto, P.; Micheloni, **M.;** Paoletti, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984,** *23,* 1201.
- **(5)** Gam, P.; Sabatini, A,; Vacca, **A.** *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1985,** 1195.
- (6) Micheloni, M.; Paoletti, P.; Vacca, A. *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 2* 1978, 945. Micheloni, M.; Paoletti, P.; Sabatini, A. Ibid. 1978, 828.
Bartolini, M.; Bianchi, A.; Micheloni, M.; Paoletti, P. Ibid. 1982, 1345.
Leugger, A. P.; Hertli, L.; Kaden, T. A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, **2296.**

[†] University of Florence.
‡ISSECC (CNR).

⁽¹⁾ Bianchi, A.; Mangani, S.; Micheloni, M.; Nanini, V.; Orioli, P.; Paoletti, P.; Seghi, B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* 1182. (2) Atkins, T. J.; Richman, J. E.; Dettle, **W.** F. *Org. Synth.* **1978,** *58, 86.*

Table I. Experimental Details and References Relative to the Synthesis of the Compounds Reported in Figure 1

	vield, %	mp, ^o C	anal.					
compd				% C	% H	$\%$ N	TLC $(R_i)^a$	ref
В	81	$173 - 175$	calcd found	53.08 53.2	5.52 5.5	7.43 7.4	0.82	2
C	60	$110 - 112$	calcd found	53.27 53.4	6.01 6.0	6.43 6.4	0.72	2
D	44	$147 - 149$	calcd found	53.68 53.6	5.34 5.3	4.38 4.4	0.85	$\overline{2}$
F	94	$219 - 220$	calcd found	53.52 53.4	5.55 5.5	7.34 7.3	0.52	3
H	42	$264 - 268$	calcd found	54.80 54.9	5.62 5.6	7.10 7.1	0.92	$\mathbf{2}$
$(L_1) \cdot 7 HCl^b$	87		calcd found	30.21 30.3	7.60 7.6	17.61 17.5		

'TLC measurements were carried out on precoated TLC plates of silica gel 60 F-254 (Merck) by using a methylene chloride, n-hexane, and methanol (8:4:1) mixture as eluent. ^{b13}C NMR spectrum (water with 15% D₂O, 20 MHz) consists of only one signal at 43.8 ppm (CH₂).

Table 11. Basicity and Formation Constants of Cu(I1) Complexes of L₁ in Aqueous Solution, at 25 °C in 0.5 mol dm⁻³ NaClO₄ (Standard State = 1 mol dm^{-3}

	log K			
reaction	$L = L_1$	$L =$ bistrien ^a		
$H^+ + L = HL^+$	9.83 $(1)^b$	10.01		
$HL^+ + H^+ = H_2L^{2+}$	9.53(1)	9.50		
$H_2L^{2+} + H^+ = H_3L^{3+}$	8.84(1)	9.10		
$H_3L^{3+} + H^+ = H_4L^{4+}$	6.72(1)	8.29		
$H_4L^{4+} + H^+ = H_5L^{5+}$	4.04(2)	5.01		
$H_5L^{5+} + H^+ = H_6L^{6+}$	2.43(2)	3.71		
$H_6L^{6+} + H^+ = H_7L^{7+}$	2.30(4)	2.98		
$H_7L^{7+} + H^+ = H_8L^{8+}$		1.97		
$Cu^{2+} + L = CuL^{2+}$	$24.4(1)^{b}$			
$Cu^{2+} + L + 2H^{+} = CuLH24+$	34.4(1)			
$CuL^{2+} + 2H^{+} = CuLH_2^{4+}$	10			
$2Cu^{2+} + L = Cu_2L^{4+}$	30.7(1)	36.63		
$CuL^{2+} + Cu^{2+} = Cu_2L^{4+}$	6.3			
$2Cu^{2+} + L + 2H^{+} = Cu_2LH2$ ⁶⁺		42.02		
$Cu2L4+ + 2H+ = Cu2LH26+$		5.39		
$Cu2L4+ + OH- = Cu2LOH3+$	4.8	3.21		

^aTaken from ref 1. ^bValues in parentheses are standard deviations in the last significant figure.

in Table II. The macrocycle (L_1) , with seven donor atoms, is a dinucleating ligand as indicated by the high stability of the dinuclear species $Cu_2(L_1)^{4+}$. This species is, as expected, significantly less stable than the corresponding dinuclear species formed by bistrien ($log K = 36.63$) where eight nitrogen atoms are involved in the coordination to the two $Cu(II)$ ions. Among the most important species in the system $Cu(II)/L_1$ there also is a very stable mononuclear complex $Cu(L_1)^{2+}$ (see Table II). If one imagines a series of polyazacycloalkanes derived by adding the -CH2-CH,-NH- group to the smallest azamacrocycle **1,4,7** triazanonane, the ligand $(L₁)$ is the only one in the series that forms

both mononuclear and dinuclear species. The smaller macrocycle 1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaazacyclononadecane $(n = 3)$ forms only mononuclear species,⁷ while the larger macrocycle bistrien $(n = 5)$ forms only dinuclear species (see Table **11).** In the Cu(II)/L,

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of 0.1 mmol dm⁻³ Cu₂(L₁)⁴⁺ (--) in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl at 50 mV s⁻¹ with a platinum microsphere as working electrode and of $Cu_2(bistrien)^{4+}$ (\cdots) under the same experimental conditions, taken from ref 1.

system there are two species, $Cu(L_1)H_2^{4+}$ and $Cu_2(L_1)OH^{3+}$. $Cu(L₁)²⁺$ has a poor tendency to be protonated, as shown by the equilibrium constant corresponding to the addition of two protons to the complex (see Table 11). These values of protonation are much smaller than the sum of those for the protonation of the first two nitrogen atoms in the free ligand (log $\beta_2 = 19.36$; see Table **11).** This big difference **(9.36** log units) cannot be explained only in terms of electrostatic repulsion, which will contribute to make the protonation of the bipositive $Cu(L_1)^{2+}$ ion $(Cu(L_1)^{2+}$
+ 2H⁺ = Cu(L₁)H₂⁴⁺) less favorable with respect to the neutral ligand $(L_1 + 2H^+ = (L_1)H_2^{2+})$. It may be considered as indirect evidence for the fact that there are not uncoordinated nitrogens, and to some extent, all donor atoms seem to be involved in the coordination to the metal ion in the mononuclear species. Although the formation of hydroxo species in Cu(II)/polyazamacrocycles systems is very rare, L_1 forms a very stable dinuclear hydroxo species $Cu_2(L_1)OH^{3+}$, as shown by the equilibrium constant for the addition of the hydroxide ion to the dinuclear complex ($log K = 4.8$).

Electrochemical Studies. An aqueous solution obtained by dissolving a well-known amount of the solid complex $Cu_2(L_1)$ - $Cl(CIO₄)₃$ has been investigated by using the CV technique. Cyclic voltammetry curves are reported in Figure 2, where the electrochemical behavior of $Cu_2(L_1)^{4+}$ is compared with that of the parent

⁽⁷⁾ Kodama, **M.;** Kimura, E.; Yamaguchi, **S.** J. *J. Chem. Soc.,* Dalton Trans. **1980,** 2536.

complex $Cu_2(bistrien)^{4+}$. The current/potential profile shows two consecutive peaks in reduction, followed, in the reverse scan, by two oxidation peaks. The separation between the peaks in the reduction scan **is** about 193 mV. The peaks do not shift on the potential axis as a function of scan rate. The intensity of each peak, compared with a monoelectronic standard,⁸ would correspond to the addition of 1 e. It is worth noting that the two peaks in reduction are more separate ($\Delta E_{1/2}$ = 190 mV) than those in $Cu₂(bistrien)⁴⁺ ($\Delta E_{1/2} = 140 \text{ mV}$) (see Figure 1), indicating that$ in the Cu₂(L₁)⁴⁺ complex the reduction of the first Cu(II) is easier than that in the corresponding $Cu_2(bistrien)^{4+}$ complex. The opposite is true for the second $Cu(II)$ ion, which is more easily reduced in $Cu₂(bistrien)⁴⁺$. These facts can be related to the overall minor stability of the dinuclear species $Cu_2(L_1)^{4+}$ when compared to that of $Cu₂(bistrien)⁴⁺$ and to the possibility that the smaller macrocycle (L_1) forms stable mononuclear species. An anodic peak appears in the oxidation scan at ca. -140 mV whose intensity increases with the decreasing of the **scan** rate. This peak, which does not disappear even at high scan rate, can be ascribed to anodic stripping due to the oxidation of deposited copper metal? Controlled-potential electrolysis at the potential of the first peak of reduction (-400 mV) causes deposition of copper metal, which plates the platinum electrode surface. This suggests that the $Cu^HCu^I(L₁)³⁺$ species is unstable with respect to the disproportionation by which copper metal is produced. In the case of $Cu₂(bistrien)⁴⁺$ the anodic stripping peak appears only at low scan rate, and deposition of copper metal by controlled-potential electrolysis only **occurs** in correspondence with the second reduction peak when the $Cu^{I}Cu^{I}$ (bistrien)²⁺ species is present.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from Progetto Finalizzato Chimica Fine e Secondaria of the Italian Research Council (CNR) is gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. A, **11 1-40-0;** B, **56187-04-3; C, 74461-29-3; D, 97783- 00-1; E, 112-24-3; F, 55442-07-4; H, 52601-76-0;** LI, **296-85-5;** (L,). 7HCl, 56187-10-1; Cu₂(L₁)Cl(ClO₄)₃, 97783-02-3.

(8) Sabatini, L.; Fabbrizzi, L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979,** *18,* **438.**

(9) Zanello, **P.;** Fabbrizzi, L.; Seeber, R.; Cinquantini, A.; Mazzochin, G. A. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1982, 893.**

Contribution from the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Calcutta **700032,** India

Manganese(IV) in Discrete O₃S₃ Coordination

Samudranil Pal, Phalguni Ghosh, and Animesh Chakravorty*

Received April 16, I985

Trivalent and tetravlent manganese are implicated in photosynthetic oxygen evolution.^{1,2} The chemistry³ of these oxidation states is therefore of particular interest. Surprisingly few wellcharacterized *discrete mononuclear* manganese(1V) species are known at present. Among these just two tris chelate types occur-Mn^{IV}O₆ in sorbitolato⁴ and catecholato^{5,6} species and $Mn^{IV}S_6$ in dithiocarbamates.⁷⁻⁹ In the present work we describe

- **(1)** Livorness, **J.;** Smith, T. D. *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)* **1982,** *48,* **1-44. (2)** Kirby, **J.** A.; Robertson, A. *S.;* Smith, J. P.; Thompson, A. C.; Cooper, *S.* R.; Klein, M. P. J. Am. *Chem.* **SOC. 1981, 103, 5529.**
- **(3)** Levason, **W.;** McAuliffe, C. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1972,7,353-384.** Harriman, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1979,28, 147.** Crisp, R. **I.** *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1982,** *45,* **237.** Moulding, R. **P.** *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1983,** *52,* **183.**
- **(4)** Richens, D. **T.;** Sawyer, D. T. *J.* Am. *Chem.* **SOC. 1979,** *101,* **3681. (5)** Hartman, **J.** R.; Foxman, B. M.; Cooper, *S.* R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984,23,**
- **1381.**
- (6) Magers, K. D.; Smith, C. G.; Sawyer, D. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, 19, 492.
(7) Hendrickson, A. R.; Martin, R. L.; Rohde, N. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974**, 13, 1933. Bond, A. M.; Martin, R. L. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1984**, 54,
- *(8)* Saleh, **R.** Y.; Straub, D. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** *13,* **3017.**

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammogram (scan rate 50 mV s^{-1}) of $\sim 10^{-3}$ M solution of $Mn(MePh)$ ₃ in acetonitrile (0.1 M TEAP) at a platinum electrode **(298** K).

the intermediate type, $Mn^{IV}O_3S_3$, furnished by thiohydroxamic acids. Because of the siderophoric role of such acids their transition-metal chemistry,^{10,11} including that of manganese(II,III),^{12,13} has received recent attention.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. The three ligands HMePh, HMeAn, and HMeBz used in the present work are of type **1** and are generally abbreviated as HMeR (H refers to the dissociable OH proton). The

manganese(IV) complexes $Mn(MeR)₁⁺$ are furnished in high yield by dichlorine oxidation of $Mn(MeR)$ ₃ in acetonitrile solution and are isolated as dark-colored hexafluorophosphates. The manganese(III) precursor is synthesized either as reported^{12,13} or by the essentially quantitative displacement reaction (1) carried out in ethanol at room temperature (Hacac = acetylacetone).
 $Mn(acac)_3 + 3HMeR \rightarrow Mn(MeR)_3 + 3Hacac$ (1)

$$
Mn(acac)3 + 3HMeR \rightarrow Mn(MeR)3 + 3Hacac (1)
$$

In solution $[Mn(MeR)_3]PF_6$ behaves as a 1:1 electrolyte and its magnetic moment conforms to the $d³$ configuration. Selected

- **(9)** Brown, **K. L.;** Golding, R. M.; Healy, P. C.; Jessop, K. J.; Tennant, W. C. Aust. *J. Chem.* **1974, 27, 2075.**
- **(10)** Sato, **T.;** Shiro, M.; Koyama, H. *J. Chem.* **SOC.** B **1968, 989.** Sato, **T.;** Tsukuda, Y.; Shiro, M.; Koyama, H. J. *Chem.* **SOC.** B **1969, 125.** Leong, J.; Bell, S. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 1886. Murray, K. S.;
Newman, P. J.; Gatehouse, B. M.; Taylor, D. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1978, 31,
983. Brockway, D. J.; Murray, K. S.; Newman, P. J. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.* **1980, 11 12.** Becher, J.; Brockway, D. J.; Murray, K. S.; Newman, P. J.; Toftlund, H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982,** *21,* **1791.** Abu-Dari, K.; Raymond, K. N. *Inorg. Chem.* 1977, 16, 807. Abu-Dari, K.; Raymond, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 2003. Abu-Dari, K.; Cooper, S. R.; Raymond, K. N. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 3394. Abu-Dari, K.; Dari, K.; Dari, K.;
- **(I 1)** Bhattacharya, *S.;* Ghosh, P.; Chakravorty, A,, submitted for publication in *Inorg. Chem.*
- **(12)** Nagata, K.; Mizukami, S. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1967,** *15,* **61. (13)** Freyberg, D. **P.;** Abu-Dari, K.; Raymond, K. N. *Inorg. Chem.* **1979.18,**

3037.